

## Introduction

### The Somatic Marker Hypothesis (SMH)

> Proposes that in complex decision-making situations that entail reward, punishment, and uncertainty, physiological emotional processes act as biasing markers that influence decisions to an appropriate action.

> Antonio Damasio (1994) has shown that patients with lesions in the ventro-medial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) have particular issues in day-to-day decision-making.

> Support for the SMH through two main findings:

❖ Typical participants:

- Preferred good choices before they had conceptual knowledge of advantageous decisions in the IGT
- Produced somatic markers before bad choices

❖ VMPFC Lesion Patients:

- No definitive preference of good choices over bad choices through out IGT
- Lack of somatic markers before any choices

> Neuropsychological mechanisms involved:

❖ In typicals, after experience with the task, re-activation of specific somatosensory patterns occurs when participants encounter certain previously encoded situations (Bechara et al., 2000).

• Two proposed pathways:

• **Body-Loop** - The body physically changes in reaction to activation of the VMPFC. These changes are sent to the somatosensory cortices producing a physiological response.

• **As-if Body Loop** - Re-activation signals bypass the body and are sent directly to the somatosensory cortices, which produce the appropriate pattern marking a situation good or bad.

### Somatic Markers

> Skin Conductance Responses

❖ **Biasing** response to a given emotional, decision making situation

❖ Occurs within the 4 seconds preceding a card choice after experience in the task

> Reward or Punishment SCR

❖ Response to the outcome of a given trial

❖ Occurs within the 4 seconds after a card choice

> Heart Rate

❖ Crone et al. (2004) have found evidence for the slowing of interbeat intervals (IBIs) as a somatic warning signal in good performers.

### Emotional Awareness

> According to the SMH, these psychophysiological responses may function at two different visceral levels:

- ❖ Conscious aware level
- ❖ Unconscious unaware level

> The participant's ability to distinguish between the two is dependent on the individual's level of emotional awareness.

> Emotional awareness is a continuous personality trait that may have an influence on the participant's ability to distinguish promising options throughout the IGT.

## Methods

### Hypotheses

- > On the IGT, those with High levels of Emotional Awareness will:
  - 1) Make more advantageous decisions
  - 2) Exhibit greater change from baseline of anticipatory skin conductance level before risky decisions.
  - 3) Exhibit a slower heart rate before making good decisions.

### Participants

- > Thirty-seven participants were recruited at Georgia State University from undergraduate psychology classes in partial fulfillment of course requirements.
- > 13 Males, 24 Females, ages ranged from 18 to 50 years ( $M=21.32$ ,  $SD=5.97$ )
- > 15 Caucasian, 14 African American, 3 Asian, 1 Cape Verdean, 1 East African, 1 Pacific Islander, and 2 unknown participants.

### The Iowa Gambling Task

> Designed to imitate real life decision-making through reward, punishment, and uncertainty of outcomes in a laboratory setting (Bechara et al., 1994).

> Includes:

- ❖ 4 Decks of Cards; A, B, C, D
- ❖ 100 Trials
- ❖ \$2000 of Credit

> Participants must determine:

- ❖ Good Decks
  - Yield a lower immediate gain but a smaller future loss; long term net gain
- ❖ Bad Decks
  - Yield a high immediate gain but larger future loss; a long term net loss

### Bad Decks Good Decks



Gain/Deck: \$100 \$100 \$50 \$50

Losses/10 Cards: \$1250 \$1250 \$250 \$250

Net/10 Cards: -\$250 -\$250 \$250 \$250

Rewards/10 Cards: 5 1 5 1

Figure 1. Describes the reward and punishment contingencies per deck of the IGT.

### Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20

- > A 20 item self-report scale aimed at measuring deficits in identifying and describing emotions. (Bagby et al., 1994)
- > 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) scale
  - ❖ ↓ TAS score = ↑ Emotional awareness and vice versa
  - ❖ This samples TAS-20 scores ranged from 25 to 74 ( $M=44.83$ ,  $SD=12.53$ ).
- > The Difficulty Identifying Feelings factor
  - ❖ Ex.: "I am often puzzled by sensations in my body."

## Results

### > Hypothesis 1

- ❖ Correlations were run between:
  - TAS-DIF factor and TAS-20 total score
  - Good minus bad choices per 20 card block
- ❖ Our main result is that block 3 (trials 41-60) shows significant positive correlations with the DIF factor and total scores for the TAS-20 for the whole group and females, as seen in Table 1.

### > Hypothesis 2

- ❖ Correlations were run between:
  - Z-score of the TAS-20
  - Mean baseline SCL (from 4 seconds immediately before anticipatory period) minus mean anticipatory SCL (4 seconds before choice) for bad choices per 20 card block.
- ❖ Most of correlation coefficients were not significantly related, as seen in Table 2.

### > Hypothesis 3

- ❖ Correlations were run between:
  - Z-scores of the TAS-20
  - Anticipatory inter-beat interval (IBI) for good choices
- ❖ The correlations were not significant, as seen in Table 3.

Table 1  
Correlations between Z-Score of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) and card choices (good minus bad) per 20 card block

TAS-20	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Block 5	Total
DIF Factor	.12	.15	<b>.40*</b>	.31	.00	.29
Male	-.10	-.03	.41	.28	-.29	.03
Female	.20	.32	<b>.41*</b>	.39*	.19	<b>.47*</b>
Total TAS-20	.11	.16	<b>.35*</b>	.24	-.06	.17
Male	-.28	-.19	.16	.10	-.22	-.12
Female	-.08	<b>.40*</b>	<b>.42*</b>	.35	.02	.34

\* $p < .05$

Table 2  
Correlations between Z-Scores of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) and the difference in averaged skin conductance level (SCL) between the baseline and anticipatory periods for bad choices per 20 card block

TAS-20	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Block 5
DIF Factor	-.29	-.06	.21	.16	-.03
Male	.18	-.40	-.41	.02	-.004
Female	<b>-.43*</b>	-.02	.10	.21	-.04
Total TAS-20	<b>-.33*</b>	-.03	.12	.23	.03
Male	-.05	-.41	.24	.09	.006
Female	<b>-.41*</b>	.009	.008	.26	.04

\* $p < .05$

Table 3  
Correlations between Z-scores of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) and the anticipatory inter-beat interval (IBI) for good choices per 20 card block

TAS-20	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Block 5
DIF Factor	-.007	-.10	-.17	-.12	-.07
Male	-.19	-.48	-.51	-.46	-.36
Female	.25	.23	.18	.22	.18
Total TAS-20	.02	-.10	-.15	-.11	-.08
Male	-.06	-.37	-.38	-.38	-.34
Female	.35	.23	.18	.21	.19

\* $p < .05$

## Discussion

### > Hypothesis 1

> Lower emotional awareness is associated with more advantageous decisions during the 'hunch' period (Bechara et al., 2005)

❖ Block three of this study is considered to be part of the 'hunch' period in which participants begin to distinguish the good from the bad decks.

❖ Those who were *less emotionally aware* may have taken a more rational and cognitive approach to the task through following the instructions rather than their emotional responses.

• Yechiam et al. (2005) proposed the expectancy-valence model to elucidate the cognitive strategies used in the IGT.

> Those who were *more emotionally aware* may have been more impulsive.

> The initial emotionally encoded somatic patterns may have been encoded for the wrong decks.

### > Hypothesis 2 and 3

> The psychophysiological hypotheses were not supported by this study's findings.

> Limitations

- ❖ No defined and prompted period for the participant to think about the next card choice
- ❖ Time given was potentially too short in duration
- ❖ Not enough time for SCL to return to baseline

### > Future Research

> Cognitive Models of the decision-making on the Iowa Gambling Task

❖ Expectancy-Valence Model (Stout et al., 2002)

> Other psychophysiological correlates

- ❖ Facial Electromyography (EMG)
- ❖ Electroencephalogram (EEG)
- ❖ Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)
- ❖ Positron Emission Tomography (PET)



Special Appreciation to Dr. Tricia King and her lab for their assistance with data collection, processing, and analyses. For more information please email CoryInman@gmail.com